

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2008) KLR VOL 1 PART 246 pp. 1 - 236

JANUARY 2008

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1
2. Agbareh v. Mimra p. 67
3. Agbogunleri v. Depo p. 117
4. Ebe v. Commissioner of Police p. 149
5. Nnadozie v. Mbagwu p. 173
6. Otu v. African International Bank Plc p. 203

ii **JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF NIGERIA**

HON. JUSTICE IDRIS LEGBO KUTIGI - CHIEF JUSTICE OF
NIGERIA
HON. JUSTICE SYLVESTER UMARU ONU
HON. JUSTICE ALOYSIUS IYORGYER KATSINA-ALU
HON. JUSTICE NIKI TOBI
HON. JUSTICE DAHIRU MUSDAPHER
HON. JUSTICE GEORGE ADESOLA OGUNTADE
HON. JUSTICE SUNDAY AKINOLA AKINTAN
HON. JUSTICE ALOMA MARIAM MUKHTAR
HON. JUSTICE MAHMUD MOHAMMED
HON. JUSTICE WALTER SAMUEL NKANU ONNOGHEN
HON. JUSTICE IKECHI FRANCIS OGBUAGU
HON. JUSTICE FRANCIS FEDODE TABAI
HON. JUSTICE IBRAHIM TANKO MUHAMMAD
HON. JUSTICE PIUS OLAYIWOLA ADEREMI
HON. JUSTICE CHRISTOPHER M. CHUKWUMA-ENEH

JUSTICES OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

THE HON. JUSTICE UMARU ABDULLAH
- PRESIDENT OF THE COURT OF APPEAL

ABUJA DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE RABIU DANLAMI MUHAMMAD
THE HON. JUSTICE OLUFUNLOLA OYELOLA ADEKEYE
THE HON. JUSTICE MARY PETER ODILI
THE HON. JUSTICE OYEBISI FOLAYEMI OMOLEYE
THE HON. JUSTICE ABDO ABOKI

BENIN DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE SAKA ADEYEMI IBIYEYE
THE HON. JUSTICE STANLEY SHENKO ALAGOA
THE HON. JUSTICE UWANI M. ABBA-AJI
THE HON. JUSTICE GEORGE OLADEINDE SHOREMI
THE HON. JUSTICE ALI A. B. GUMEL

CALABAR DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE VICTOR AIMEPOMO O. OMAGE

THE HON. JUSTICE NWALE SYLVESTER NGWUTA
THE HON. JUSTICE JEAN OMOKRI
THE HON. JUSTICE MOJEEED A. OWOADE

ENUGU DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE JAMES OGENYI OGEBE
THE HON. JUSTICE ISTIFANUS THOMAS
THE HON. JUSTICE MOHAMMED LADAN-TSAMIYA
THE HON. JUSTICE SOTONYE DENTON-WEST
THE HON. JUSTICE JIMI OLUKAYODE BADA

IBADAN DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE JOHN AFOLABI FABIYI
THE HON. JUSTICE KUMAI BAYANG AKAHHS
THE HON. JUSTICE M. DATTIJO MUHAMMAD
THE HON. JUSTICE AMINA A. AUGIE
THE HON. JUSTICE CHIDIEBERE NWOMA UWA

ILORIN DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE MUHAMMAD S. MUNTAKA-COOMASSIE
THE HON. JUSTICE HELEN MORONKEJI OGUNWUMIJU
THE HON. JUSTICE JUMMAI HANNATU SANKEY
THE HON. JUSTICE IGNATIUS IGWE AGUBE

JOS DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE ZAINAB ADAMU BULKACHUWA
THE HON. JUSTICE JAFARU MIKA'ILU
THE HON. JUSTICE AHMAD O. BELGORE
THE HON. JUSTICE ALFRED P. E. AWALA
THE HON. JUSTICE UZO I. NDUKWE-ANYANWU

KADUNA DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE BABA ALKALI BA'ABA
THE HON. JUSTICE AMIRU SANUSI
THE HON. JUSTICE ABUBAKAR ABDULKADIR JEGA
THE HON. JUSTICE OLUKAYODE ARIWOOLA
THE HON. JUSTICE JOHN INYANG OKORO

LAGOS DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE ISA AYO SALAMI
THE HON. JUSTICE DALHATU ADAMU
THE HON. JUSTICE CLARA BATA OGUNBIYI
THE HON. JUSTICE MONICA DONGBAN-MENSEM
THE HON. JUSTICE RAPHAEL CHIKWE AGBO
THE HON. JUSTICE PAUL A. GALINJE
THE HON. JUSTICE ADZIRA GANA MSHELIA
THE HON. JUSTICE HUSSEIN MUKHTAR

PORT HARCOURT DIVISION

THE HON. JUSTICE SULEIMAN GALADIMA
THE HON. JUSTICE M. L. GARBA
THE HON. JUSTICE TIJANI ABDULLAHI
THE HON. JUSTICE KUDIRAT M. O. KEKERE-EKUN
THE HON. JUSTICE BODE RHODES-VIVOUR
THE HON. JUSTICE IBRAHIM MOH'D MUSA SAULAWA

ACTIONS - Privy - Definition - It is a person whose title is derived from a party - Or identity of successive persons having interest in property - There are three kinds of privies (H4) Agbogunleri v. Depo p. 117

APPEALS - Issues - Ground of appeal - In respect of which no issue was raised - And no argument proffered - Is deemed abandoned (H1) Agbareh v. Mimra p. 67

APPEALS - Preliminary objection - To validity of appeal - Where not moved with court's leave before oral hearing of appeal - It is deemed waived and abandoned (H8) Agbareh v. Mimra p. 67

APPEALS - Cross appeal - Merit - Where cross appeal is not properly initiated - And no miscarriage of justice is occasioned by the decision - Supreme Court will ignore it as mere academic exercise (H9) Agbareh v. Mimra p. 67

APPEALS - Error of trial court - Complaint against it - Can be made before the Supreme Court - Where appellant felt that Court of Appeal did not correct the error - But rather justified it (H2) Otu v. ACB Bank Plc p. 203

APPEALS - Leave - Grounds of appeal - Competence - Appeal on ground of law alone is as of right - Even if there be some invalid grounds - An appeal can be sustained by one valid ground (H2) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

APPEALS - Preliminary objection - Grounds of appeal - Issues - The grounds complained against are competent - And issues formulated from them shall be considered (H1) Otu v. ACB Bank Plc p. 203

APPEALS - Retrial - Discretion - Circumstances of present case justify retrial order - Discretion of Customary Court of Appeal in ordering retrial - Will not be interfered with - There being no good cause (H5) Nnadozie v. Mbagwu p. 173

APPEALS - Retrial - Discretion - Since the order of retrial is discretionary - The five guiding principles or any past decisions - Are not binding precedents (H2) Nnadozie v. Mbagwu p. 173

APPEALS - Retrial - Five guiding principles governing the order - As enunciated in Abodundu case - Include error in law or irregularity in procedure (H1) Nnadozie v. Mbagwu p. 173

APPEALS - Retrial - Propriety of the order - Is where trial court failed to evaluate evidence on vital issues - And appeal court cannot adequately embark on evaluation from the printed records (H3) Nnadozie v. Mbagwu p. 173

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Appeals - Interlocutory appeal - Right to file - Being Constitutional - Court lacks jurisdiction to take it away - Based on preliminary objection (H3) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Appeals - Interlocutory appeals - Leave - Is not necessary in all cases - In view of s. 233(2) of 1999 Constitution (H1) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Appeals - Leave - Meaning & rationale - Where leave is required vide s. 222 1979 Constitution - Or in the rules of court - Failure to seek and obtain leave - Removes Court's jurisdiction to grant the incompetent motion (H3) Otu v. ACB Bank Plc p. 203

CONTRACTS - Agreements - Terms of - Are binding on the parties - Written agreements of the parties - Is the only authoritative admissible evidence of the contract - With some exceptions (H4) Agbareh v. Mimra p. 67

CONTRACTS - Breach - Consent judgment - That incorporated parties' written agreements as binding - Was wrongfully interpreted in isolation by Court of Appeal - Appellants are entitled to percentage amount as contracted (H7) Agbareh v. Mimra p. 67

CONTRACTS - Terms - Denial - That payment of third instalment - Does not fall within the parties' contract - Is dishonest (H5) Agbareh v. Mimra p. 67

COURTS - Appeals - Discretion - Where exercised bona fide - Uninfluenced by irrelevant considerations - Not arbitrarily or illegally - But justice administered according to law - Appellate court will not interfere (H2) Ebe v. COP p. 149

COURTS - Discretion - Appearance of parties - A judge has no discretion in his ruling on the law - But exercises discretion in penalties or remedies - No law compels any party to appear physically in court - In the circumstances of this case (H3) Ebe v. COP p. 149

COURTS - Discretion - Exercise of - To be proper - It must be honest and in the spirit of the applicable statute - Or else it will be set aside (H1) Ebe v. COP p. 149

COURTS - Evidence - Evaluation - Where trial court failed to evaluate evidence - Whose character is oral involving demeanour - Appellate courts are ill equipped to determine credibility of witnesses (H4) Nnadozie v. Mbagwu p. 173

COURTS - Judgments - Jurisdiction - When a court is said to be competent - Includes when it is properly constituted - Previous decision binds present appellant - Who is deemed to be a privy (H7) Agbogunleri v. Depo p. 117

COURTS - Records - Binding nature of - Records of proceedings or appeals - Are binding on the court and parties - They are presumed to be genuine (H3) Agbareh v. Mimra p. 67

COURTS - Technicalities - Justice - Election petitions - Court of Appeal rightly held - That full opportunity should be given to parties in the interest of justice - For we are now in days of court pursuing substantial justice (H14) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

ESTOPPEL - Definition - Creation of can be in several ways - Three kinds recognised by common law include estoppel by record (H1) Agbogunleri v. Depo p. 117

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - Sameness of subject matter - Correct findings of trial court confirmed by the Court of Appeal - That the land in dispute is same - Will not be disturbed (H6) Agbogunleri v. Depo p. 117

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - Conclusive nature of the judgment - Applies in this case - In justification of plea of estoppel - As rightly held by lower courts (H8) Agbogunleri v. Depo p. 117

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - Estoppel by record - Judgments in personam - Meaning - For plea of estoppel by judgment to succeed - Defendant will show inter alia - Sameness of subject matter (H3) Agbogunleri v. Depo p. 117

ESTOPPEL - Res judicata - Presupposes that a final decision - On same subject matter - Cannot be contradicted by any of the parties - It arises under about three given situations (H2) Agbogunleri v. Depo p. 117

ESTOPPEL - Title - Privity - Parties - Any person who derives title or claims under the actual representor - Is bound by consequent estoppel - Parties are the same in both suits in this matter (H5) Agbogunleri v. Depo p. 117

EVIDENCE - Documents - Contracts - Oral evidence is not admissible - To prove, vary, alter or add to the term - Of a written contract (H2) Agbareh v. Mimra p. 67

INTERROGATORIES - Fair hearing - Is denied - Where interrogatories are wrongfully refused - Need for speedy hearing - Does not justify Court of Appeal's refusal of interrogatories - In this case (H9) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

INTERROGATORIES - Nature - Aim and objective - Is to uphold case of the applicant - And destroy that of his opponent - To elicit admissions from the opponent (H5) *Abubakar v. Yar'dua* p. 1

INTERROGATORIES - Pleadings - Fishing and oppressive interrogatories - Party who has categorically denied a fact vide pleadings - Should not be interrogated thereupon - And court will not allow mala fide interrogatories (H6) *Abubakar v. Yar'dua* p. 1

INTERROGATORIES - Proof - Burden placed on plaintiff - Cannot be shifted to defendant vide crafty interrogatories - Court will stop such smartness - By invoking its equitable jurisdiction (H7) *Abubakar v. Yar'dua* p. 1

INTERROGATORIES - Questions asked - Relevance of - Where found relevant by the Supreme Court - Are ordered to be answered (H8) *Abubakar v. Yar'dua* p. 1

JUDGMENTS - Consent judgments - Terms - Interpretation of - Must be anchored on the parties' prior written agreements - In line with the principle - That requires interpretation - To be wholistic and not isolated (H6) *Agbareh v. Mimra* p. 67

JUDGMENTS - Validity - Court has the jurisdiction to set aside its own order - In appropriate circumstances - Appellate Court will not interfere - Where the discretion is exercised judicially and judiciously (H5) *Otu v. ACB Bank Plc* p. 203

MOTIONS - Election petitions - Leave to call additional witnesses - Being supported by facts in the affidavit - Was rightly granted - To give parties opportunity to ventilate their cases - Without due regard to technicalities (H15) *Abubakar v. Yar'dua* p. 1

MOTIONS - Opposition - Fair hearing - Where a party opposing an application - Was given opportunity to present his opposition - Against the motion for extension of time - He was given fair hearing (H4) *Otu v. ACB Bank Plc* p. 203

MOTIONS - Substance of - Is what court must pursue - Appellants' quarrel with lower court's use of phrase - That properly brings out substance of the motion - Is too technical (H16) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

PLEADINGS - Better particulars - Issues - Where clearly joined by the parties - Application for further and better particulars will be refused - For being unnecessary (H11) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Interrogatories - Definition - They are pretrial discovery device - Written questions submitted by one party to the other (H4) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Pleadings - Further and better particulars - Purpose and object of asking for it - By a party - Is to make pleadings exact and narrow the issues (H10) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

RULES OF COURT - Noncompliance - Mere irregularity - Can be waived in the interest of justice - Object of court - Is to decide rights of parties - And not to punish them for mistakes - They make in litigation process (H12) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

RULES OF COURT - Obedience - Justice - Though rules of court are meant to be obeyed - It should not be to the point that justice is destroyed (H13) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

STATUTES - Observance - Legal impossibility - Implied by law not contract - Affords a party good excuse for nonperformance - It is impossible for a COP - To be physically present in court - For every case affecting his office (H4) Ebe v. COP p. 149

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Arbitration and Conciliation Act, Cap. 19, LFN 1990 Agbareh v. Mimra p. 67

Constitution of Nigeria 1979 ss. 33 (1), 220, 221, 222 Otu v. ACB Bank Plc p. 203

Constitution of Nigeria 1999 ss. 233 (1), (2) & (3) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1; ss. 241(2)(a), 243 Otu v. ACB Bank Plc p. 203

Election Tribunal and Court Practice Directions No. 1 of 2007 para. 3 (7)(f), para. 2 Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

Electoral Act, 2006, 1st Schedule para. 5 Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1
English Rules of Supreme Court O. 26 r. 1 (3) Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

Evidence Act s. 54 Agbogunleri v. Depo p. 117; ss. 136, 137, 139 Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1; ss. 74, 75, 132 Agbareh v. Mimra p. 67

Federal High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 2000 O. 33 r. 1 Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules of Cross River State, 1987 O. 44 r. 10(1), O. 11 r. 33 Ebe v. COP p. 149

High Court of Lagos (Civil Procedure) Rules 2000 O. 27 r. 2 Abubakar v. Yar'dua p. 1

High Court of Lagos State (Civil Procedure) Rules 1972 O. 9(2) & (3) Otu v. ACB Bank Plc p. 203

Supreme Court Rules 1999 O. 6 r. 8 (6) Agbareh v. Mimra p. 67

xii RE: CITATION OF THE KLR BEFORE THE COURTS

Are you worried that the KLR was not widely being cited before the Courts? Note the following issues:-

1. Some buyers did not know the value of the KLR as a powerful legal weapon in their hands. They thought that because the price is divinely made low, it has no big value. (See p. 236 for distinguishing features of the KLR).

2. Some wondered whether the KLR is approved by the Supreme Court. (See back cover and pp. i & ii of (2001) 1 KLR (pt. 113) where two letters from the SC to clear citation issue are published). Recently, the SC has increased the quantity of its subscription.

3. Others thought it is only as we give complimentary copies to all Judges that the citation issue will be settled, forgetting that it will make the price to be no more affordable. Yet all JJSC have copies.

4. Some regular subscribers that saw the unique subject index style that makes the KLR a quick research material were not willing to cite it before Courts lest they be asked to leave it behind and it be misplaced. They would rather cite other law reports. In fact a Senior Advocate said that after using the KLR to secure case law authorities without sweating, he would cite reports he knows a particular Judge is used to without including the KLR citation. He was sorry when we told him the implication.

5. There was fear of continuity nursed by some others that did not understand the dedication on the 1st page. Those that stopped seeing the KLR on book stands thought the publication has stopped, not knowing that it was merely replanted so that it will now spring up bearing more fruits.

All said and done, the simple explanation is that our legal profession is very conservative and does not easily respond to change, but not now that the KLR has been divinely sustained since 1993. We, therefore, call upon all who have seen the beauty of the present touch of dynamism the KLR offers to join us in the crusade of securing its being more widely cited all over the Federation in the days ahead. Cite it boldly and leave copies behind for the Court on terms that assure security. Then cause uninformed members of the legal profession you come across to read p. 236 of this Pt. We shall approach various bodies to subscribe and or update their subscription.

Some Libraries where you can find the KLR are, Supreme Court, Court of Appeal, National Judicial Institute, Nigerian Law School, FCT High Court Abuja, Lagos High Court, Ibadan High Court, Akure High Court, Federal Ministry of Justice Abuja, Ministry of Justice Yenagoa, etc.

Thanks for joining hands with us in this project of making law reports available and affordable to all towards the promotion of Justice.